

UNIVERSITY BOARD FOR RESEARCH AND INNOVATION

21/11 A meeting of the University Board for Research and Innovation was had the description and

Present

Dominik ZaumPro-Vice Chancello(Research and Innovation) (Chair) Parveen Yaqool Pro-Vice Chancellor

21/14 Actions from the previous meetin@tem 3]

There were no actions

This was a into year, with the potential for future outcomes to inform knowledge exchange funding. There was a potential for the exercise to be adjusted in future iterations.

The outcomesprovided a useful opportunity for the University to reflect on its strengths and weaknesses, for example inform how it could prioritise its local and regional engagement activity with reference toother institutions narrative and performance

21/18 THE Impact Rankings 2021 overvitiem 5bii]

The Board received a report on the University's performance in the THE Impact Rankings in 2021, the first year in which the University had participated, and the second year of the rankings. The rankings are bæd on a combination of standard contextual metr(size and shape) specific data (for example energy usage) and evidence of the existence of policies, procedures and engagement. Overall, the University wasanked in the 100200 bracket of the 1115 participating institutions, performing particularly strongly in the following the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG): Decent work and economic growth (21 of 685), Reduced inequalities (28/669) and Responsible consumption and production (13/503). Research rivest were generally strong across all the SDG.

The preparation of the submission had involved colleagues from MCE, Estates and the Planning and Strategy Office. The University would reflect further on the learning from its participation this year to inform preparations for next year's ranking.

21/19 QS World University Rankingitem 5biii]

The Board received a summary of the outcomes for the University in the QS World University Rankings. The University was ranked at just outside the topn (2) its position of 2) of

 $fellows hips were \ highlighted, along \ with \ success \ in \ other \ (n \textbf{WKRI}) \ scheme \textbf{kt} \ was \ suggested \ that \ t$

The Board received an update on activity relating to the ConcolPdagress has been made across

Research Travel GrantsTheBoard received the annual report from the Subcommittee on Research Travel grants. The Board noted that the travel fund had had limited assesult of a reduction in overseas traventhcreasingly, funds were being vired to line payments for conferences. It was recognised that the approach should be mindful of environmentate and alignment with the overall University travel policy in the future.