1

VARIATIONAL APPROACH IN WEIGHTED SOBOLEV SPACES TO SCATTERING BY UNBOUNDED ROUGH SURFACES

SIMON N. CHANDLER-WILDE[†] AND JOHANNES ELSCHNER[‡]

Abstract. We consider the problem of scattering of time harmonic acoustic waves by an unbounded sound soft surface which is assumed to lie within a finite distance of some plane. The paper is concerned with the study of an equivalent variational formulation of this problem set in a scale of weighted Sobolev spaces. We prove well-posedness of this variational formulation in an energy space with weights which extends previous results in the unweighted setting (Chandler-Wilde & Monk, SIAM J Math Anal 37 (2005), 598-618) to more general inhomogeneous terms in the Helmholtz equation. In particular, in the two-dimensional case, our approach covers the problem of plane wave incidence, whereas in the 3D case incident spherical and cylindrical waves can be treated. As a further application of our results we analyse a finite section type approximation, whereby the variational problem posed on an infinite layer is approximated by a variational problem on a bounded region.

1. Introduction. This paper is concerned with the analysis of problems of scattering by unbounded surfaces, in particular with what are termed rough surface scattering problems in the engineering literature. By the phrase rough surface, we will denote throughout a surface which is a (usually non-local) perturbation of an infinite plane surface such that the surface lies within a finite distance of the original plane. Rough surface scattering problems in this sense arise frequently in applications, for example in modeling acoustic and electromagnetic wave propagation over outdoor ground and sea surfaces, and have been studied extensively in the physics and engineering literature from the points of view of developing effective numerical algorithms or asymptotic or statistical approximation methods (see e.g. Ogilvy 30], Voronovich 39], Saillard & Sentenac 32, Warnick & Chew 40, DeSanto 18, and Elfouhaily and Guerin 19).

Despite this extensive practical interest, relatively little mathematical analysis of these problems has been carried out. In particular, only in the last four years have the first results been obtained establishing well-posedness for three-dimensional rough surface scattering problems, using integral equation methods (see Chandler-Wilde, Heinemeyer & Potthast 13, 14], Thomas 36]) or variational formulations (see Chandler-Wilde, Monk & Thomas 11, 15], Thomas 36]). The variational approach proposed in 11] for the sound soft acoustic problem leads to explicit bounds on the solution in terms of the data and applies to a rather general class of non-smooth unbounded surfaces. The approach in 11] is extended to more general acoustic scattering problems in 36], including problems of scattering by impedance surfaces and by inhomogeneous layers (and see 15]).

In contrast to the general case of a non-locally perturbed plane surface, there is already a vast literature on the variational approach applied to periodic diffractive structures (diffraction gratings) or to locally perturbed plane scatterers; see, e.g., Kirsch 25], Bonnet-Bendhia & Starling 6], Elschner & Schmidt 20], Bao & Dobson 5], Elschner, Hinder, Penzel & Schmidt 21], Ammari, Bao & Wood 1], and Elschner & Yamamoto 22]. The assumption made in all of these papers leads to a variational problem over a bounded region, so that compact imbedding arguments can be applied and the sesquilinear form that arises satisfies a Gårding inequality which simplifies the mathematical arguments considerably compared to the cases studied in 11], 15] and 36].

In this paper we will rigorously analyze time harmonic acoustic scattering, seeking to solve the Helmholtz equation with wave number ${\bf k}>{}$,

$$\Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{k}^2 \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{q}$$

in the perturbed half-plane or half-space $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, n=, . The scattering surface = D is assumed to lie within a finite distance of some plane; for example it may be the graph of an arbitrary bounded continuous

[†]Department of Mathematics, University of Reading, Whiteknights, PO Box 220 Berkshire, RG6 6AX, UK e-mail: S.N.Chandler-Wilde@reading.ac.uk

 $^{^{\}ddagger}$ Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics, Mohrenstr. 39, 10117 Berlin, Germany e-mail: elschner@wias-berlin.de

function. While the methods we use and results we derive can be adapted to other boundary conditions, to keep things specific and to make use of earlier results 11, 15, we will restrict our attention to the simplest case when a homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition $\mathbf{u}=\mathrm{holds}$ on . The problem formulation is completed by a suitable radiation condition, expressing that the wave scattered by the surface must radiate away from the surface.

This paper is closest in its results to Chandler-Wilde & Monk 11], who studied the same Dirichlet scattering problem. Following 11], we introduce an equivalent variational formulation of this problem set in an infinite layer S_0 of finite thickness between the surface—and some plane—0 lying above that surface on which the solution is required to satisfy a non-local boundary condition involving the exact Dirichlet to Neumann map T. This condition is often used in a formal manner in the rough surface scattering literature (e.g. 18]), that, above the rough surface—and the support of g, the solution can be represented in integral form as a superposition of upward traveling and evanescent plane waves. This radiation condition is equivalent to the upward propagating radiation condition proposed for two-dimensional rough surface scattering problems in 10], and has recently been analyzed carefully in the 2D case by Arens and Hohage 4]. Arens and Hohage also propose a further equivalent radiation condition (a 'pole condition').

In Sections 2 and 3 we formulate the boundary value problem and its variational formulation precisely, and give the details about our assumptions on \mathbf{D} and about the radiation condition we impose. Section 3 is also devoted to new continuity properties of the DtN map \mathbf{T} in weighted Sobolev spaces on $_{0}$.

In Section 4 we study the well-posedness of the variational formulation in an energy space with weights which decay or increase polynomially as a function of radial distance within the layer S_0 . Our main result, Theorem 4.1, is to show, for a range of increasing and decreasing weights, that the problem is well-posed in the weighted space setting if and only if it is well-posed in the unweighted space setting. This result depends on technical estimates of the commutator of the DtN map T and the operation of multiplication by the weight function; see Theorem 3.1. Combining this result with previous results on well-posedness in the unweighted setting for sound soft scattering 11], we are able to show well-posedness in a weighted space setting.

In Section 5, to illustrate the importance of these results, we make two applications. First, in the twodimensional case, we prove existence of solution to the problem of plane wave scattering by an unbounded sound soft where e_n denotes the unit vector in direction \mathbf{x}_n . Condition (2.2) is satisfied if — is the graph of a continuous function, but also allows more general domains.

We now introduce weighted L^2 and Sobolev spaces. For $\in \mathbb{R}$, $I \in \mathbb{N}$ and a domain $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, define the Hilbert spaces

$$L^2 G = +x^2 - {}^{\prime 2}L^2 G$$
, $H^1 G = +x^2 - {}^{\prime 2}H^1 G$,

equipped with the corresponding canonical norm and scalar product. The space V_h , is then defined, for $h \geq$, as the closure of $\{u|_{S_h} \ u \in C_0 \ D \}$ in the norm

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{V}_{h,\varrho}} = \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\varrho}^{1}(\mathbf{S}_{h})} = \left(\int_{\mathbf{S}_{h}} \left(\left| +\mathbf{x}^{2}\right|^{2}\mathbf{u}\right|^{2} + \left|\nabla\right| + \mathbf{x}^{2}\right|^{2}\mathbf{u}\left|^{2}\right|^{2}\right) \mathbf{d} \right)^{1/2}. \tag{2.3}$$

We set $V_{0,} = V$ in the following, which is the energy space for our variational problem. Moreover, we introduce

$$H^s$$
 $h = +x^2 - {}^{\prime 2}H^s$ h , s , $\in \mathbb{R}$,

where H^s h is identified

REMARK 2.2. We note (and this is important in our later applications) that there is a degree of arbitrariness in our radiation conditions (2.4) and (2.5). By this we mean that one could replace \mathbf{x}_n in (2.4) by $\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{c}$, for any $\mathbf{c} >$ (in fact for any $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\operatorname{pp} \mathbf{g} \subset \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{c}}$ and $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{c}} \subset \mathbf{D}$); the corresponding change to (2.5) would be to replace

3. The Dirichlet to Neumann Map and Variational Formulation. We now consider a variational formulation in weighted Sobolev spaces of the above boundary value problem, which involves the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator on the artifical boundary $_0$. As in 11] for =, there exist continuous trace operators

$$\ \, _{-} \ \ \, \textbf{V} \ \, \rightarrow \textbf{H}^{1/2} \quad _{0} \ \, \text{,} \quad _{+} \ \, \textbf{H}^{1} \ \, \textbf{U}_{0} \backslash \textbf{U}_{\textbf{h}} \ \, \rightarrow \textbf{H}^{1/2} \quad _{0} \ \, \text{,} \ \, \textbf{h} > \ \, . \label{eq:constraint}$$

Moreover, if $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{C}_0 \quad 0$ and \mathbf{u} is given by giv

Note that this sesquilinear form is well-defined and continuous on $V \times V_-$ for $|\ | < \$ as a consequence of Lemma 3.3 with $s = \ /$.

The variational formulation (V). Given $\mathbf{g} \in L^2 \ S_0 \ , \ | \ | < \ , \ \text{find} \ \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V} \ \text{ such that}$ $\mathbf{B} \ \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \ = - \ \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{v} \ , \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_- \ . \tag{3.4}$

As in 11], the equivalence of (BVP) and (V) follows from the following weighted version of Lemma 3.2 in that paper.

Lemma .4. Let \mid \mid < .

(i) If (2.4) holds with $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{H}^{1/2}$ 0, then $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^1 \ \mathbf{U}_0 \setminus$

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{a}^2 + \mathbf{x}^2$$
 /2 $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}_0$, $= \mathbf{a}^2 + \mathbf{x}^2$ - /2 $\in \mathbf{V}_0$.

Then we obtain from (3.3)

$$\mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}_{1} = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{v}_{1} + \mathbf{K} \mathbf{v}_{1} , \qquad (4.1)$$

where $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}_1 + \mathbf{K}_2$ with

$$\mathbf{K}_{1} \mathbf{v}, = \nabla \mathbf{a}^{2} + \mathbf{x}^{2} / 2 \mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{a}^{2} + \mathbf{x}^{2} / 2 - \nabla \mathbf{v}, \nabla
= \mathbf{v} \nabla \mathbf{a}^{2} + \mathbf{x}^{2} / 2, \nabla \mathbf{a}^{2} + \mathbf{x}^{2} / 2 + \nabla \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a}^{2} + \mathbf{x}^{2} / 2 \nabla \mathbf{a}^{2} + \mathbf{x}^{2} / 2
+ \mathbf{v} \mathbf{a}^{2} + \mathbf{x}^{2} / 2 \nabla \mathbf{a}^{2} + \mathbf{x}^{2} / 2, \nabla$$
(4.2)

and

$$\mathbf{K}_2 \ \mathbf{v}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = \int_{\mathbf{r}_0} \left\{ \ \mathbf{a}^2 + \mathbf{x}^2 \ ^{\prime 2} \ \mathbf{T} \ \mathbf{a}^2 + \mathbf{x}^2
ight.$$

and since (cf. [11])

$$\| \ _{-}v\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{r}_{0})} \leq k^{-1/2}| \ _{-}v|_{H^{1/2}(\boldsymbol{r}_{0})} \leq k^{-1/2}|v|_{V_{0}}\,,$$

(4.5) implies that

$$|\mathbf{K}_2|\mathbf{v}, \quad |\leq rac{\mathbf{c}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{ka}}} |\mathbf{v}|_{\mathbf{V}_0}| \quad |_{\mathbf{V}_0}.$$

Thus we have, for $ka \ge and \mid l < l$,

$$|\mathbf{K}_0 \ \mathbf{v}, \quad | \leq \left(\frac{\mid \ \mid}{ka} \left(\right. \right. + \frac{\mid \ \mid}{ka} \right) + \frac{c}{\sqrt{ka}} \left) |\mathbf{v}|_{V_0} | \quad |_{V_0} \leq \frac{\mid \ \mid + c}{\sqrt{ka}} |\mathbf{v}|_{V_0} | \quad |_{V_0} \, ,$$

so that $\|\mathcal{K}_0\| \le | | + c$ / \sqrt{ka} . Taking the bound

$$\|\mathcal{B}_0^{-1}\| \le = +\sqrt{} + 2$$

from [11, Thm. 4.1] and using (4.6), we obtain the norm estimate

$$\|\mathcal{B}^{-1}\| \le \qquad (4.7)$$

provided that

$$\|\mathcal{K}_0\| \le \|\cdot\| + \mathbf{c} \quad /\sqrt{\mathbf{ka}} \le - \le -\|\mathcal{B}_0^{-1}\|$$

which holds for $\mathbf{a} \geq 2 \mid \mathbf{c} \mid \mathbf$

$$|\mathbf{u}|_{\mathbf{V}_{\varrho}} \le |\mathbf{g}|_{\mathbf{V}_{-\varrho}^*} \le \mathbf{k}^{-1}|\mathbf{g}|_{\mathbf{L}_{\varrho}^2(\mathbf{S}_0)}, \tag{4.8}$$

provided ka \geq , | + c | .

5. Applications.

5.1. Plane Wave Incidence, Diffraction Gratings, and Other Scattering Problems. As an application of Theorem 4.1, the problem of plane wave incidence in the 2D case ($\mathbf{n}=$) can be treated. That is, it can be shown, in appropriate function spaces, that the scattering problem for plane wave incidence has exactly one solution in 2D (for a brief discussion of what goes wrong in the 3D case, see Remark 5.5 below, and see Remark 5.6 for details of 3D scattering problems which can be tackled by Theorem 4.1). The incident plane wave has the form

$$\mathbf{v}^{\text{in}} = \mathbf{p} \; \mathbf{ik} \qquad \mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2$$
 ,

where is the angle of incidence, with $|\ |<\ /$. In this problem we look for the total field $v=v^{sc}+v^{in}$, v^{sc} being the unknown scattered field, such that

$$\mathbf{\Delta} + \mathbf{k}^2 \mathbf{v} = \quad \text{in } \mathbf{D}, \quad \mathbf{v} = \quad \text{on} \quad , \tag{5.1}$$

and $\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{sc}}$ satisfies an appropriate radiation condition.

This 2D rough surface scattering problem with plane wave incidence has been treated before, by integral equation methods, in 17] where it is shown that there exists exactly one solution $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{C}^2 \ \mathbf{D} \ \cap \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{D}$ such that \mathbf{v} is bounded in \mathbf{S}_h , for every $\mathbf{h} >$, and $\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{s}\mathbf{c}}$ satisfies the radiation condition in the form (2.5) (termed the upwards propagating radiation condition (UPRC) in 17]). However, the proof in 17] is only for the case where \mathbf{D} is the graph of a sufficiently smooth ($\mathbf{C}^{1,1}$) function (this, or at least a restriction to Lyapunov surfaces, is an essential restriction due to the compactness arguments in the existr $\mathbf{280}$ in $\mathbf{17}$

this section we

and such that $\mathbf{v}^{sc} = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{in}$ satisfies the ayleigh expansion radiation condition, that

$$\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{sc}} = \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad p \ \mathbf{ik} \quad {}_{\mathrm{m}}\mathbf{x}_{1} + {}_{\mathrm{m}}\mathbf{x}_{2} \ , \qquad \in \mathbf{U}_{0},$$

where the $\boldsymbol{u_m}$ are complex constants, $\ _{m} \ = \ \ + \ \ \boldsymbol{m/} \ \boldsymbol{kA}$, and

$$\mathbf{m} = \begin{array}{ccc} \sqrt{-\frac{2}{m}}, & |\mathbf{m}| \leq , \\ \mathbf{i}\sqrt{\frac{2}{m}}, & |\mathbf{m}| > . \end{array}$$

It is shown in 22] that (DGPW) has exactly one solution in the case that **D** is the graph of an (**A**-periodic) Lipschitz function, by extending well-known arguments (see e.g. 25]), which apply in the case when **D** is the graph of a smooth function, to the non-smooth Lipschitz case. The following corollary of Theorem 5.1 extends that result further to the much more general case where **D** is only required to satisfy (2.1), (2.2), and (5.2).

Corollary 5.2. Suppose that (5.2) holds. Then $(DGP \blacksquare)$ has exactly one solution, and this is the unique solution of $(P \blacksquare)$.

Proof. Suppose that \mathbf{v} satisfies (DGPW). Then it is clear that \mathbf{v} satisfies (PW), provided we can show that \mathbf{v} satisfying the Rayleigh expansion radiation condition implies that \mathbf{v} satisfies the UPRC (2.5). But this is shown in 8. Conversely, suppose that \mathbf{v} satisfies (PW). Then

Proof. It is almost immediate from the observations immediately above the theorem that if \boldsymbol{v} satisfies (PWSC) then \boldsymbol{u} , defined by (5.5), satisfies the above boundary value problem. The only difficulty is to show the radiation condition. To see this we note that \boldsymbol{v}^{sc} satisfies the radiation condition (2.5), from which it follows (see 9] and cf. Remark 2.2) that \boldsymbol{v}^{sc} satisfies (2.5) with $_0$ replaced with $_c$, for all c>, in particular with c=-b. Since $\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{v}^{sc}$ in \boldsymbol{U}_c it is immediate that \boldsymbol{v} satisfies (2.5) with $_0$ replaced by $_{-b}$, which is equivalent (see Remark 2.2) to (2.4) with \boldsymbol{x}_2 replaced by $\boldsymbol{x}_2+\boldsymbol{b}$.

We next observe that it follows from Theorem 4.1 that the boundary value problem for \boldsymbol{u} has exactly one solution (\boldsymbol{u} satisfies exactly a boundary value problem of the form of Section 2 after vertical translation of the axes by a distance $|\boldsymbol{b}|$). The theorem is thus proved if we can show that this solution satisfies that $\boldsymbol{u}|_{S_h} \in \boldsymbol{V_h}$, for every $\boldsymbol{h} >$, and the bound $|\boldsymbol{u}|_{S_h}|_{\boldsymbol{V_h^\infty}} \leq \boldsymbol{C_p}$.

To see this we make the follo

where $G \in V_{-}$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{w} \ = \int_{\mathbf{r}_0} \ -\mathbf{w} \ \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}^{in}}{\mathbf{x}_2} + \mathbf{T} \ -\mathbf{v}^{in} \right) \ \mathbf{ds} \quad , \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{V}_- \ . \tag{5.8}$$

The restriction to the range <-/ arises since $\mathbf{v^{in}} \in \mathbf{V}$ for <-/ but not for =/. Having solved this variational problem to determine $\mathbf{v}|_{S_0}$, \mathbf{v} is determined throughout \mathbf{D} through (2.5) satisfied by $\mathbf{v^{sc}}$. Of course this variational formulation is well-posed, by Theorem 4.1.

REMARK 5.5. The above results show that the problem of plane wave incidence is well posed in the 2D

case. In the 3D case it seems to us likely that a solution to the problem of plane wave incidence does not exist for every choice of domain \mathbf{D} satisfying (2.1) and (2.2). Certainly, the methods of argument above do not extend to the 3D case, for, in the 3D case, $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{P}}$ in Theorem 5.3 is in \mathbf{L}^2 \mathbf{D} only for <-, and \mathbf{G} given by (5.8) is in \mathbf{V}_- only for <-, so that Theorem 4.1 does not apply. Further, even the formulation of the 3D plane wave problem appears problematic in 3D. Precisely, just as the radiation condition (2.4) does not extend to a bounded linear functional on $\mathbf{H}^{1/2}_-$ of for <-, it does not extend to a bounded linear functional on \mathbf{L}_- (which would require that the integral in (2.5) be absolutely convergent for every $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{L}_-$, which is true in 2D but not in 3D, as a consequence of the asymptotics (2.8)). Thus it is when the probability of the pro

where the constants A and B are chosen to ensure that $v^{in} \in C^1 \mathbb{R}^2$ (again this is possible provided is chosen sufficiently small). Then $v^{in} \in H^2_{loc} \mathbb{R}^2$ with $A + k^2 v^{in} = g_C$, where $g_C = Ak^2$, $\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2 - H^2} <$, $g_C =$, otherwise. We observe that g_S is compactly supported so that $g_S \in L^2 D$ for every $\in \mathbb{R}$. Further, it is an easy calculation to see that $g_C \in L^2 D$ for < -/,

 $\mathrm{explicitly}~\bm{V}^{\,(\bm{\mathsf{R}})}~\mathrm{denotes}~\mathrm{the}~\mathrm{completion}~\mathrm{of}~\{\bm{u}|_{\bm{S}_0^{(\bm{\mathsf{R}})}}~\bm{u}\in\bm{C}_0~\bm{D}^{(\bm{\mathsf{R}})}~\}~\mathrm{in}~\mathrm{the}~\mathrm{norm}$

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{V}_{\varrho}^{(R)}} = \left(\int_{\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{c}}^{(R)}} \left(\left| + \mathbf{x}^2 \right|^2 \mathbf{u} \right|^2 + \left| \nabla \right| + \mathbf{x}^2 \right)^{-1/2} \mathbf{d} \right)^{-1/2}.$$
 (5.12)

We remark, as is easily seen from Lemma 2.1, that the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{V}_{\varrho}^{(\mathbf{R})}}$, $\in \mathbb{R}$, are equivalent since $\mathbf{S}_{0}^{(\mathbf{R})}$ is bounded, so that, as linear spaces, for $\in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{R})} = \mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{R})} = \mathbf{V}_{0}^{(\mathbf{R})}$. The approximating variational problem is the following: find $\mathbf{u}^{(\mathbf{R})} \in \mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{R})}$ such that

$$\mathbf{B}^{(\mathbf{R})} \mathbf{u}^{(\mathbf{R})}, \mathbf{v} = -\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{v}, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{R})}.$$
 (5.13)

Here $\mathbf{B}^{(\mathbf{R})}$ is the continuous sesquilinear form on $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{R})} \times \mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{R})}$ defined by (3.3) with \mathbf{D} replaced by $\mathbf{D}^{(\mathbf{R})}$, i.e. defined by

$$\mathbf{B}^{(\mathbf{R})} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} = \int_{\mathbf{S}_0^{(R)}} \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{k}^2 \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v} \, \mathbf{d} + \int_{\mathbf{r}_0^{(R)}} -\mathbf{v} \, \mathbf{T} - \mathbf{u} \, \mathbf{d} \mathbf{s} , \qquad (5.14)$$

where $_0^{(R)} = \overline{\mathbf{S}_0^{(R)}} \cap _0$ (see Remark 3.6 for the interpretation of _ in this case).

Making the observation that we can view $\boldsymbol{V}^{(R)}$ as a closed subspace of \boldsymbol{V} (the elements of $\boldsymbol{V}^{(R)}$ become elements of \boldsymbol{V} if we extend them by zero from $\boldsymbol{S}_0^{(R)}$ to \boldsymbol{S}_0), the analysis of the error in approximating \boldsymbol{u} by $\boldsymbol{u}^{(R)}$ follows the usual pattern for analysing the Galerkin method for variational problems via a generalized Céa's lemma. Precisely, if $\boldsymbol{u} \in \boldsymbol{V}^{(R)} \subset \boldsymbol{V}$, then, for $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{V}^{(R)}$, applying (5.11),

$$B^{(R)}\ u,v\ = B\ u,v\ = B\ u-u,v\ -\ q,v\ .$$

Subtracting this equation from (5.13) we see that

$$\mathbf{B}^{(\mathbf{R})} \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{(\mathbf{R})}, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{R})}.$$
 (5.15)

Now recall from Section 4 that $\mathcal{B} \quad \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{-}$ is our notation for the bounded linear operator

constants dependent only on $and |\mathbf{b}|$,

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{V}_{\varrho_1}} = \| - \mathbf{R} \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{V}_{\varrho_1}}$$

$$\leq \mathbf{c}_2 \left(\int_{\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_0^R} + \mathbf{x}^{2-1} \right)$$

Theorem 6.1. For $ka \ge and \mid l < a$, the commutator C_a defined in (6.3) has norm $\le c - \sqrt{k/a}$ on L^2 \mathbb{R}^m .

It is enough to consider \in , since the case of negative then follows by duality (with respect to the scalar product on L^2 \mathbb{R}^m). We split the symbol t_a as

$${f t_a} = {f t}^{(0)} + {f t}^{(1)} = \quad | \ | \ {f t_a} \quad + \quad$$

with $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{x}^2 - \mathbf{z}^2$. Here the integral in (6.14) is well defined since $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{u}$ is rapidly decreasing and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{L}^1 \mathbb{R}^m$ for $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{F}\mathbf{v}$ for a function \mathbf{v} of rapid decay, with $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ denoting convolution.

LEMMA 6.4. For any >, the functions **b** and $| | \nabla \mathbf{b}|$ are rapidly decreasing as $| | \rightarrow \infty$ and belong to $\mathbf{L}^1 \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{m}}$. For the proof of this, we refer to 29, Chap. 8.1]; see also 34, Chap. 5.3].

Proof of Theorem 6.2 (i). From (6.14) and Lemma 6.3,

$$\|\mathbf{NFu}\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\mathsf{R}^m)} \le \left\| \int_{\mathsf{R}^m} |\mathbf{b} - | \cdot | \cdot - \cdot | \cdot \mathbf{p} \right\|_{\mathsf{R}^{\chi}}$$

where $\;\;$ is a smooth function with somewhat larger support and $\;\;\;=\;$.

Let first $\mathbf{m}=$. Then (6.20) follows for \in

By taking Fourier transform, the uniform boundedness of (6.27) is equivalent to the estimates

$$\|\mathbf{m}\ \mathbf{x_n},\quad \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{\varrho}(\mathbf{R}^m)} \leq \mathbf{c}\ \mathbf{h},\quad \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{\varrho}(\mathbf{R}^m)}\,,\quad \mathbf{v}\in\mathbf{C}_0\quad \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{m}}\ ,\quad \mathbf{x_n}\in\quad ,\mathbf{h}\ , \tag{6.29}$$

where $m \ x_n$, $= p - x_n t$. Consider a decomposition $t = t^{(0)} + t^{(1)}$ as in (6.5), with $a = t^{(0)} + t^{(0)} = t$

REFERENCES

- [1 H. Ammari, G. Bao, A.W. Wood, Analysis of the electromagnetic scattering from a cavity, Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math. 19 (2002), pp. 301-310.
- [2 T. Arens, S. N. Chandler-Wilde, K. Haseloh, Solvability and spectral properties of integral equations on the real line: I. weighted spaces of continuous functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 272 (2002), pp. 276-302.
- [3 T. Arens, S. N. Chandler-Wilde, K. Haseloh, Solvability and spectral properties of integral equations on the real line. II. L^p -spaces and applications, J. Integral Equations Appl. 1 (2003), pp. 1–35.
- [4 T. Arens, T. Hohage, On radiation conditions for rough surface scattering problems, IMA J. Appl. Math. 70 (2005), pp. 839-847.
- [5 G. Bao, D.C. Dobson, On the scattering by a biperiodic structure, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), pp. 2715–2723.
- [6 A.S. Bonnet-Bendhia, P. Starling, Guided waves by electromagnetic gratings and non-uniqueness examples for the diffraction problem, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 17 (1994), pp. 305-338.
- [7] M. Cessenat, Mathematical Methods in Electromagnetism, World Scientific, Singapore, 1996.
- [8 S. N. Chandler-Wilde, Boundary value problems for the Helmholtz equation in a half-plane, in Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. on Mathematical and Numerical Aspects of Wave Propagation, G. Cohen, ed., SIAM, Philadelphia, 1995, pp. 188-197.
- [9 S. N. Chandler-Wilde, B. Zhang, Electromagnetic scattering by an inhomogeneous conducting or dielectric layer on a perfectly conducting plate. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A4 4 (1998), pp. 519–542.
- [10 S. N. Chandler-Wilde, B. Zhang, A uniqueness result for scattering by infinite rough surfaces, SIAM J. Appl.. Math. 8 (1998), pp. 1774-1790.
- [11 S.N. Chandler-Wilde, P. Monk, Existence, uniqueness and variational methods for scattering by unbounded rough surfaces, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 37 (2005), pp. 598-618.
- [12 S.N. Chandler-Wilde, P. Monk, The PML for rough surface scattering, Appl. Numer. Math. 9 (2009), pp. 2131-2154.
- [13] S.N. Chandler-Wilde, E. Heinemeyer, R. Potthast, A well-posed integral equation formulation for three-dimensional rough surface scattering, Proc. R. Soc. A **462** (2006), pp. 3683-3705.
- [14 S.N. Chandler-Wilde, E. Heinemeyer, R. Potthast, Acoustic scattering by mildly rough unbounded surfaces in three dimensions, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 66 (2006), pp. 1002-1026.
- [15 S.N. Chandler-Wilde, P. Monk, M. Thomas, The mathematics of scattering by unbounded, rough, inhomogeneous layers, J. Comp. Appl. Math. 204 (2007), pp. 549-559.
- [16 S. N. Chandler-Wilde, M. Rahman, C. R. Ross, A fast two-grid and finite section method for a class of integral equations on the real line with application to an acoustic scattering problem in the half-plane, Numer. Math. 93 (2002), pp. 1-51.
- [17] S. N. Chandler-Wilde, C. R. Ross, B. Zhang, Scattering by infinite one-dimensional rough surfaces. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A4 , (1999), pp. 3767-3787.
- [18 J.A. DeSanto, Scattering by rough surfaces, In R. Pike and P. Sabatier, editors, Scattering and Inverse Scattering in Pure and Applied Science, pp. 15-36, Academic Press, 2002.
- [19] T. M. Elfouhaily, C. A. Guerin, A critical survey of approximate scattering wave theories from random rough surfaces, Waves Random Media 14, (2004) pp. R1-R40.
- [20 J. Elschner, G. Schmidt, Diffraction in periodic structures and optimal design of binary gratings I. Direct problems and gradient formulas, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 21 (1998), pp. 1297-1342.
- [21 J. Elschner, R. Hinder, F. Penzel, G. Schmidt, Existence, uniqueness and regularity for solutions of the conical diffraction problem, Math. Models and Methods in Appl. Sci. 10 (2000), pp. 317-341.
- [22 J. Elschner, M. Yamamoto, An inverse problem in periodic diffractive optics: reconstruction of Lipschitz grating profiles, Appl. Anal. 81 (2002), 1307-1328.
- [23 K.O. Haseloh, Second Kind Integral Equations on the Real Line: Solvability and Numerical Analysis in Weighted Spaces, PhD Thesis, Universität Hannover, Germany, 2004.
- [24 E. Heinemeyer, M. Lindner, R. Potthast, Convergence and numerics of a multi-section method for scattering by threedimensional rough surfaces, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 46 (2008), 1780-1798.
- [25] A. Kirsch, Diffraction by periodic structures, In L. Päivärinta et al, editors, Proc. Lapland Conf. In

[40	K.F. Warnick, W.C. Chew, Numerical simulation methods for rough surface scattering, Waves Random Media 11 (2001), pp. R1-R30.	!