

Slips and Trips Policy

Introduction

Slips and trips are the single most common cause of injuries in workplaces. Slips and trips also account for over a half of all reported injuries to the public. 95% of reportable major slips result in broken bones. It is therefore important that the University puts in place management systems to eliminate or minimise risks from slips and trips. Th

- They l

Campus Services

Campus Services will ensure that:


- An appropriate risk assessment is carried out for the management of risks from slips and trips arising from cleaning activities.
- Staff are trained in suitable safe systems of work, including:
 - o preventing access when wet floors are drying
 - o cleaning at appropriate times to minimise any risk to building users from wet floors
 - o the correct cleaning methods for flooring types and use of chemicals
 - o the safe use of electrical power and extension leads and other equipment that might cause a trip hazard.
- Staff are adequately supervised to ensure that safe systems of work are followed.
- Centrally booked rooms are maintained in a condition that will reduce the risk of slips and trips.

Further information and HSE guides

1. CIRIA Guide C652 Safer surfaces to walk on reducing the risk of slipping. 2006.
2. Slips and Trips: Guidance for employers on identifying hazards and controlling risks - HSE Books (HSG 155) (ISBN: 0 7176 1145 0) (1996)
3. Slips and Trips: Guidance for the food processing industry - HSE Books (HSG 156) (ISBN: 0 71) (1996)
4. Assessing the slip resistance of flooring. HSE Technical Information Sheet 03/07. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/web/slips01.pdf>
5. Slips and trips: The importance of floor cleaning. HSE Information Sheet 09/05. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/web/slips02.pdf>
6. Preventing slips and trips at work. INDG225(rev1). 2005. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/indg225.pdf>
7. Preventing slip and trip incidents in the education sector. HSE Education Information Sheet No 2 (revised) EDIS2(rev1) 09/06. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/edis2.pdf>
8. www.hse.gov.uk/slips/information.htm

Appendix 1 Assessing the slip resistance of flooring

When specifying new flooring the guidance contained in CIRIA publication *Surfaces to walk on* should be followed. This includes the following decision making process:



Stage 1 Selection of surface options

This will normally be led by the architect, designer and Project Manager, in consultation with the end user.

Stage 2 Obtaining prime parameters

It is essential that the correct information is available on the floor surface products likely to be used. This will enable the appropriate design decisions to be made based on established parameters. This will mainly consist of pendulum coefficient of friction test

Surface microroughness meter

Surface roughness can be used to supplement pendulum test data. The roughness results should be interpreted using the information reproduced in Table 3 (from UKSRG, 2005). Where only roughness data is available then the HSE Slips Assessment Tool (SAT) should be used. This will take account of other factors which affect the likelihood of slips and trips and will provide a High, Moderate or Low score.

Table 3 Surface ro

Stage 4

Table 5 Factors to be considered when selecting flooring materials

Attribute	Comment
Cost	A floor surface with a higher SRV may be more expensive than an alternative with a lower SRV, but, with appropriate caution, it might allow a less strictly controlled cleaning regime. This emphasises the whole-life costing approach that should be adopted where possible.
Appearance	A smooth, shiny surface might look impressive but its low micro-roughness may demand a more frequent cleaning and maintenance regime or one that does not leave any residual liquid on the surface. There may be a significant risk of disruption (eg employee absence or civil action) as a consequence of slips, arising from a failure to ensure appropriate cleaning.
Wear / durability	A harder surface will maintain its surface roughness longer than the softer alternative. Some surfaces improve with wear, and other surfaces degrade with time and usage. Aesthetically pleasing surfaces can be achieved using materials with higher SRV and Rz values. Note: all surfaces will wear but how this affects the slip resistance is unpredictable. The only way to be sure is to measure the SRV over time, using the pendulum test (in conjunction with surface roughness measurements as a useful complementary means of monitoring).
Maintenance	The floor surface should be chosen with the use, any legal requirements for cleanliness and the desired maintenance regime in mind.
Consequences of falls	All floors need to be safe, but where a history of civil action or incidents would seriously damage the occupier's image it may be worthwhile to specify a solution that errs on the cautious side.

Stage 8 Environment

Consider how detrimental effects can be minimised or avoided. Overall design must be considered to reduce contamination as much as possible. One example of this would be the provision of suitable canopies and drainage at entrance ways, to prevent water being brought into the entrance of buildings.

Condensation can also lead to contamination, so this should be designed out as far as possible.

Lighting must be considered – the amount of light in an area can help people distinguish between areas

Stage 9 Footwear

Where there is no control over footwear such as in a public access building, this needs to be taken into account and the worst case scenario assumed. Where there is control over footwear then significant reductions in risk can be made - see Chapter 7 of the CIRIA guide for more information.

Stage 10 Drainage

Having considered stages 4-9, the next step is to consider if the floor is effectively drained, and will the floor be free from contamination, as far as possible? If yes, move to surface specification, taking into consideration any slopes.

Stage 12 Maintenance strategy

Derive the maintenance strategy statement associated with the choices made. The maintenance strategy should involve monitoring the SRV of the floor through the life of the asset. This will enable replacement or restoration of the SRV where necessary. The specifier should agree the maintenance strategy with the end user/occupier and Campus Services, if they are responsible for cleaning the area.

The statement should include the following:

Physical characteristics

- o Slip resistance parameters (SRV (dry and surface wet), Rz)
- o Anticipated life of the floor product and limiting characteristics
- o The locations where is anticipated the surface will require treatment after a period of use
- o It may also be desirable to provide the design SRV under the worst contamination conditions envisaged if these differ from the surface wet values.

Contamination issues

- o Anticipated hazards
- o The assumed cleaning regime and associated maintenance

Other influences

- o The design assumptions regarding other influencing factors, including environment, footwear, use and behaviour (where relevant)

Management

- o The anticipated maintenance regime (its type, frequency and methodology)
- o Day to day management issues e.g. drying and cleaning of door mats
- o Lighting issues

This statement will provide important information for those who have responsibility for the flooring surface during its lifespan.